From:

11/06/2003 11:30 #632 P.001/002

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 0 6 2003

LAW OFFICES BARRY R. LIPSTT'Z BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 8 755 MAIN STREET MONROE, CONNECTICUT 06468

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS

OFFICIAL

BARRY R. LIPSITZ

DOUGLAS M. McALLISTER

FAX: (203) 459-0201

November 6, 2003

To:

Date:

Examiner Benjamin E. Lanier

ART UNIT 2132 - Telephone: 305-7684

Firm:

TELEPHONE: (203) 459-0200

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Fax No.:

(703) 872-9306 / (703) 746-8884

From:

Barry R. Lipsitz

Fax No.:

(203) 459-0201

Total Number of Pages, including this page: 2

Re:

US Patent Application 09/692,084 - Filed October 19,2000

MULTIMEDIA ADAPTIVE SCRAMBLING SYSTEM (MASS)

My Ref.: SOL-130

Dear Examiner Lanier:

In accordance with our telephone discussion, enclosed is an Interview Request Form for the above-referenced patent application. I would like to meet with you on Thursday, November 13, 2003 at 9:30 AM.

I look forward to receiving your confirmation of the interview.

Thank you.

very fully yours

Barry R. Lipsitz

Registration No. 28,637

From:

11/06/2003 11:31 #632 P.002/002

PTOL-413A (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0857-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form]
Application No.: 09/692,084 First Named Applicant: Ka Examiner: B. E. LANIER Art Unit: 2132	therine 5. Status of Ap	Lam plication: Fi		
Tentative Participants: (1) BARRY R. LIPSITZ (2) GILBERTO E	BARRON		OFFI	CIAI
(3) BENJAMIN E. LANIER (4)			RECEI	VED
Proposed Date of Interview: Nov. 13,2003 Proposed Tim	ne: 9:30	_ (AM)PM)	CENTRAL FA	
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [] Telephonic (2) Personal (3) [] Video (Conference		NOV 0 6	2003
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES If yes, provide brief description:	XNO		-	·
Issues To Be Discussed				
Issues Claims/ Prior (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) Rej. ALL Sugisaki, Thompson,	[]	[]	[]	
(2) Schroeden	[]	[]	[]	
(3)	[]	[]	[]	
(4)	[]	[]	[]	
[] Continuation Sheet Attached		٠	i	
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: 1) Difference between presence of dynamic range Applicant's use of dynamic range to effect waterman of scrambling key in "independent data partien" of a file Key in actual data samples. An interview was conducted on the above-identified applications.	and Applica	difference beh	usen o lacement	
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the as soon as possible. [1-6-03] (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (Exami	ıt's failure to sı	ibmit a written his interview (3°	record of this	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to fite (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CPR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form analog suggestions for reducing this barden, should be seen to the Cheft Information Officer, U.S. Patron and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND PRES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patenta, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Received from < > at 11/6/03 10:36:47 AM [Eastern Standard Time]